

REMARKS

Claims 1-3 were originally pending, but claims 1 and 3 have been withdrawn in response to a restriction requirement without disclaimer or prejudice to the subject matter contained therein. Claim 2 remains pending. The Abstract has been amended to correct matters of form and the specification has been amended to remove hyperlinks and correct certain informalities in one of the same paragraphs. No new matter has been introduced. The Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of this application in light of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks.

I. The Abstract Is In Correct Form

The Abstract was objected to as being too long. The Abstract has been amended to fall within the 150 word limit. Reconsideration and withdrawal of this objection is respectfully requested.

II. The Present Invention is Patentable In View of Lupien et al. and Certain Official Notice

Claim 2 stands rejected as unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over U.S. Patent No. 5,845,266 to Lupien et al. [hereinafter “Lupien et al.”]. The Examiner contends that Lupien et al. discloses all of the elements of claim 2 except for aggregation of trading orders including economically unviable trades. For this missing element, the Examiner takes Official Notice that it was well known in the art of finance to approve or disapprove a transaction through an accounting system and that if the accounting system determines that a particular trading order is economically unviable then the trading order would likely not be approved. The Examiner then contends based on this Official Notice it would have been obvious to one of skill in the art to perform the aggregation to prevent disapproval. The Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner’s characterization of this reference vis-à-vis claim 2.

The Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner provide a reference in support of her Official Notice. The Examiner’s taking of Official Notice is hereby seasonably traversed.

Initially, Lupien et al. fails to address any economically unviable trades. Such trades are at the core of the claimed invention, in that the claimed invention provides a mechanism for the first time for smaller investors to place trades in a manner that makes economic sense and provides for significant diversification. Lupien et al. discloses an electronic trading system, but

it was precisely the inherent limitations of such systems that led the Applicant to develop the present Foliofn trading system, which is claimed in claim 2. For example, systems such as the Lupien system do not permit trading orders that include fractional shares or odd lots, which would be considered economically unviable. Moreover, no one would place orders in such systems even at round lots where the cost of the trade was significant economically to the trade value. The claimed invention overcomes these limitations by providing for the first time a way for these trades to be executed economically. In short, Lupien et al. fails to disclose anything regarding the execution or trading of economically unviable trades.

Moreover, the Applicant notes that the Official Notice essentially teaches away from such a combination set forth in claim 2, as economically unviable trading orders would be rejected by any trading system at the first instance – not then aggregated with other trading orders. Such orders would not be routed through the system only to be disapproved eventually. As such, the combination of Lupien et al. and the Official Notice cannot be made in the manner suggested by the Examiner.

Accordingly, the Applicant respectfully submits that Lupien et al. and the Examiner's Official Notice does not render claim 2 unpatentable. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of claim 2 is respectfully requested.

III. Terminal Disclaimer to be Filed to Obviate Obviousness-Type Double Patenting

Claim 2 stands rejected based on the non-statutory obviousness-type double patenting. Upon indication of otherwise allowable claims, the Applicant will file a terminal disclaimer to obviate this obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

CONCLUSION

It is respectfully submitted that the application is in clear condition for allowance. Reconsideration, withdrawal of all grounds of objection and rejection, and issuance of a Notice of Allowance are earnestly solicited.

The Office is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees or credit any overpayments under 37 C.F.R. §1.16 or §1.17 to Deposit Account No. 11-0600. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned to discuss any matter regarding this application.

Respectfully submitted,
KENYON & KENYON LLP

Date: December 10, 2007

/B. Delano Jordan/
B. Delano Jordan
Registration No. 43,698

KENYON & KENYON
1500 K Street, N.W., Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: 202-220-4200
Facsimile: 202-220-4201

APPENDIX A